1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 AT TACOMA 9 KIM SNELL. NO. 3:20-cy-06028-JHC 10 Plaintiff, ORDER RE: STATE **DEFENDANTS'** 11 MOTIONS IN LIMINE 12 THE STATE OF WASHINGTON; DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL AND 13 HEALTH SERVICES, JUDITH A. FITZGERALD and UNA I. WILEY, 14 Defendants. 15 16 THIS MATTER comes before the Court on Defendants' Motions in Limine. Dkt. # 17 47. Having considered the motions and the opposition thereto, the Court rules as follows: 18 **DEFENDANTS' MOTIONS IN LIMINE** Granted Denied Reserved 19 Evidence of discrimination, harassment and/or Without 20 retaliation allegations, claims or cases involving prejudice. persons other than plaintiff A. 21 Opinions of the plaintiff or lay witnesses as to the Without In part – to 22 motives of others where such witnesses were not the extent prejudice. involved in the acts or decisions that plaintiff the witness 23 alleges were retaliatory does not have 24 personal 25 <sup>1</sup> No reply papers are to be filed in support of motions in limine. LCR 7(d)(4). Although the

ORDER RE: STATE DEFENDANTS' MOTIONS IN LIMINE NO. 3:20-ev-06028-JHC

26

motions are noted for May 5, 2023, they are fully briefed, and the Court may now rule on them.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

25

26

DEFENDANTS' MOTIONS IN LIMINE		Granted	Denied	Reserved
		knowledge of the matter.		
C.	Plaintiff's Opinion of Their Own Qualifications		In full.	
D.	Plaintiff from using Whistleblower Complaint made against her as evidence of discrimination or retaliation.		Without prejudice.	
E.	Testimony or argument regarding alleged wrongful or retaliatory acts by either defendant toward individuals other than plaintiff		Without prejudice.	
F.	Evidence supporting theories of law Ms. Snell voluntarily dismissed as they are irrelevant, unfairly prejudicial, or inadmissible character evidence.	In part.	In part – to the extent evidence is relevant to an existing claim.	
G.	Arguments and inferences outside the record for a punitive result or for political effect	In full.		
Н.	Testimony or arguments regarding the financial conditions of the parties or implying that the jury should consider the relative resources of the parties	In full.		

DATED this 2nd day of May, 2023.

John H. Chun

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE